United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPELLEE'S APPENDIX

Orig. w/ affidavit of meiling

75-1233

United States Court of Appeals FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Docket No. 75-1233

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Appellee,

-against-

DAVID HERNDON.

Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GOVERNMENT'S APPENDIX

David G. Trager, United States Attorney, Eastern District of New York. PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

INDEX

	PAGE
Excerpts from Trial Transcript	A-1
Sentencing Minutes of June 6, 1975	A-16

29 Elie - direct

has pointed out the defendant, David Herndon.

- Q What did the defendant do after you made that phone call?
 - A He came in close to me.
- Q Would you speak up, please, so the jury can hear you?
 - A He came in close to me.
 - Q Did he say anything to you at that time?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What did he say to you?
- He pulled his jacket, take a gun out and said
 "This is a stickup. Give me all the money or I'll blow your
 head off."
- Q Where were you standing -- you were standing on the left side, still, at that point?
 - A Yes,
 - Q Was your back to the wall?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Where was the defendant with respect to you?
- A On the left side and his right shoulder turned to the right side of the bank.
- Q You said he pulled his jacket open. Would you indicate to the jury exactly what he did at that point?
 - A (Indicating) He pulled his jacket like this,

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

6

8

()

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

then pulled the gun out with the right hand.

MR. CORCORAN: I would like this marked for in difficultion, please.

THE CLERKS Object marked for identification, C voument's Ethibit 5.

(... mathed.)

has alie, would you examine Government's Exhibit & for identification (handing to witness).

(Cont'q) Have you seen that object before?

Can you identify that?

This is the gun he pulled out and this is the same gun the patrolnan take off of his pocket on the same day

Would you demonstrate to the jury again where exactly was that oun when you first saw it?

Inside the bank.

Would you stand up a second and show the jury what the defendant did with that?

A (Indicating) He pulled a jacket like this and then pulled the gun like that. Then he said "This is a stickup. Give me all the money in the bank or I'll blow your head off."

Did you say anything to the defendant at that time?

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

he run out from the bank to the street. What did you do at that point? I was so mad about that that I think he was

really sick, I num after him to the street ---

MS. SELTZER: Excuse me, I couldn't understand

THE COURT: Repeat that, Mr. Silverman. (Record read by the reporter.)

token out of his pocket, go downstairs, go to the underpass,

go to the uptown side. He get on the -- tried to get on the

24

5 Dlie - direct A Sexington Arbin. He get out, go upstairs back to the street again, across the street to the Con Edison place. That's when I see the two patrolmen. They stop him. O At that point you saw a patrolman stop him? CONCORAN: Could we have these marked THE CLERK: Black case marked Government's Exhibit 6 for identification. (So marked.) THE CLERK: Two objects marked Government's Exhibits 7 and 8 for identification. Mr. Elle, would you examine Government's Exhibit 6 for identification (handing to witness). Have you ever seen that object before? Tell us when you had seen it before. On October 28. Where did you see it on October 28? Inside the bank. Where did you see it inside the bank? He was wearing that bag. Who was holding it?

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cirrincione-direct

southbound side of the Nevins Street station.

Is that here in Brooklyn?

Yes, sir.

Q What if anything occurred at 2:00 o'clock on that platform?

A Two male blacks came running down the stairs and they ran past me and they headed to the underpassage to the northbound side.

As they were coming up on the northbound side, I heard people from the mezzanine area yelling "Stop him, stop him, he's got a gun, he trie ' to rob the bank." I ran upstairs to Flatbush Avenue.

O Before you ran up the stairs, when you heard that yelling, were you able to observe two men who were running?

A Yes, they had come out of the underpassage on the northbound side of the station and they were running down the platform towards the stairway.

THE COURT: In that subway station you can cross from the north to the south or south to the north underneath the sidewalk, the underpass; is that right?

> THE WITNESS: Yes sir. The whole station is underground.

> > THE COURT: I understand, but pedestrians can

24

25

Cirrincione-direct

walk down the steps, under the roadway, and up the

THE WITCHESS: No sir, you have to pay your

THE COURT: I see.

- O At the time you heard this yelling the two men were on the opposite platform from you; is that correct?
 - A Yes sir
 - What did you do at that point?
 - A I ran up to the street to Flatbush Avenue.
- Did you see anything when you got up to the street?

A I observed the first male running across

Hatbush avenue to my side of the street. He stopped, turned,
looked at me and he started to run in the direction of

Nevins Street. I gave chase and when he got to Nevins Street

he made a left-hand turn and I made a left-hand turn.

- At that point I came up behind him, put my hands on his two arms, put him up against the wall. He dropped a black leather case he was carrying.
 - Q You caught up with him around the corner?
 - A Yes sir, at that point.
 - O Do you see the man on the corner of Nevins

At Nevins Street when I had him up against

	A 9 .
1	127 Herndon-direct
2	A I left for work. I went to work and
3	C At this law clinic?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. CORCORAN: Objection, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow it.
7	C At what time was that, if you recall?
8	A I got to work about 9:30 and I left about
9	11:45.
10	Would you describe what happened, why you left,
11	when you left, what you did?
12	A The reason I left, I was feeling kind of
13	pressured. I had the previous weekend I had a little
14	business deal go sour on me. I tried to do, to take part,
15	invest a few hundred dollars in it and it fell through and
16	I had other money problems and at the time I couldn't function
17	at work properly, so I left.
18	I came across town on my way to my sister's house. I
19	met a man and we were talking and after that point we got
20	high. We went to his house and got high.
21	What time was that?
22	A 10 after 12, something like that.
23	Υ What happened at that point?
24	A We got high in his house. We had a couple of
25	cups of tea and then I left. I was going back to work and
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	

Herndon-direct

	Α	After	I	unwrapped	it,	I	stuck	it	in	my
pocket.										

Q What did you do then?

A I walked to the Greater New York Savings Bank and walked into it and --

O Do you recall who was in the Savings Bank at that time?

A When I walked into the bank, it was 4 tellers, the guard and a customer.

O Do you recall where each of these individuals were?

The rest of the tellers were at their cages, different cages, on the right side, I think.

Were they spread out or around the bank or were they altogether --

A Spread out around the bank.

Then what happened -- at this particular time if you can recall, would you describe what was going on in your mind?

A At that point, I was thinking whether I should do it or how should I do it and will I get caught. At that point, that's what I was thinking when I walked into the bank.

I checked to see whether the bank guard had a gun,

how many bank guards were there. There was only one and he was -- at the time I was in the bank, there was a desk or scrething, a station over there where the bank guard is at. I walked over to the spot where you get the withd: and slips, deposit slips. I started -- I pulled one out and I started like writing on it.

(Continued next page.)

Hernden-direct

Mry did you do that?

pm

22

24

A I wanted to look around the bank. I wasn't sure way must be really wanted to do it yet. I wasn't all the way must be bank would built up enough heart to do it at that point. The bank would walked over to me.

The bank quard is this Mr. Elie who testified this morning?

Yea, he walked over to me. When he walked over to me. Thehed him to get me a glass of water. He left me, went to the back of the bank and was gone about three minutes, right?

thile he's walking, I told myself, "If you're going to do it, do it now or forget it."

There wasn't any customers in the bank at all and like in was the best opportunity to do it if I wanted to,

Q Where was Mr. Elie?

A In the back of the bank.

Q Was he visible to you?

A No, he wasn't. He came back out. I told him
I wasn't feeling too well. I told him I had high blood
pressure, right? I sat down. I got back up and asked him to
get me another glass of water.

O Why did you do that?

head to go through with it or he would think something was funny. He went to get me another glass of water. When he came book he went over to the phone.

O Where was this phone?

A It was on the desk, about ten feet from whem we were standing. When he came back over to me, he said, "I called your ambulance, man." I, at that point, I knew I had to get out of the bank, right?

Q Why?

A Well, the ambulance, police come. I knew I couldn't stand any questions about what I was doing in the bank. I left -- I started going out the bank, right? The bank guard --

Did you walk out of the bank or did you run out?

I was walking fast. I was walking fast. When I left who bank, outside the bank, the bank guard stopped me. He said, "hey man, I called you an ambulance and I want you to talk to my boss." I said, "No, man, that's all right." I started walking faster. He kept following me. I started walking even faster; then it broke into a trot. I ran across the street and down the street. He was still following me.

Herndon-cross

- Q After the first glass of water?
- A Yes, after the first glass.
- But you decided to have a second glass of water?
- A Right.
- Were you thirsty at the time?
- A Sort of.
- C It's your testimony then that you never pulled this pistol out in the bank?
 - A I never pulled it out in the bank, right.
- Is it your testimony here -- Let me ask you this: Did you say to Mr. Elie, "This is a stick-up, give me all the money in the bank or I'll blow your head off"?
 - No. I didn't.
 - Hé made that up?
 - A Yes, he did.
- Me told the truth about everything else, but he made that up --

THE COURT: Objection sustained. Tat's argu-

- Q When was the first time you took this pistol out after you walked into the bank?
- A I never took it out. The policeman pulled it out of my pocket.
 - It remained in your pocket from the time you

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT A 16
2	EASTER, DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AGERICA :
5	-against- :
6	DAVID 1. 014074,
7 8	Dufundant. :
9	
10	
11	United States Courthwase Brooklyn, New York
12	June 6, 1975 10:50 a.m.
13	
14	sofore:
16	HONORAGE JACOB MISHLER, Chief U.S.D.J.
17	
18	
19	
29	
21	
22	ARLYNU SEGAL
23	ACTING OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Appearances:

DAWID G. TRAGER, DSQ. United States Attorney for the Bastern District of New York

BY: DAVID GOULD, ESQ.
Assistant United States Attorney

MARION SELTZER, ESQ. Legal Aid Society Attorney for Defendant

11

勰

12

A

11)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE CUMULE Criminal cause for sentencing 2 U.S.A. against David Herndon, 75 CR 192. 3 MR. GOULD: David Gould, Assistant United States Attorney, substituting for Mr. Cochran who is 5 on vacation. MS. SEMETABR: Marion Seltzer, for the Legal Aid Society, for the defendant David Hernuon. - THE COURT: David Herndon, do you have anything to day before the Court imposes sentence on you? 10 Tab DEFENDANT: Ms. Seltzer would like to speak before you impose sentence. THE COURT: Is there anything particular that you would like to say? The law allows you to speak before sentence is imposed. You have a right to speak. You have a right to allocution. Do you want to say anything at this point? THE DEPENDANT: Yes, sir. I would like to say that I understand the seriousness of the crime. THE COURT: Did you go over the probation report 19 20 with Mr. Herndon? 21 MS. SEETZER: Yes. THE DEFENDANT: I understand the seriousness 22 23 of the crime. 24 THE COURT: When did you go over it with him?

MS. SELTZER: This morning.

1 .--

1-

THE DEFENDANT: And I would like to say that during the course of the crime I did walk into the bank. I did not carry it out. I turned around and I came one, if that pulls any weight with the Court.--

contributing. And I would like to stay in Ly home to help them out as much as I can.

right now about what happened, what took place. I think if the Court gives me a chance I am worthy of another chance. That's about it.

THE COURT: Do you have anything to say,

report with Mr. Herndon.

occasions the Probation Department is capable of producing a very fine probation report. I don't deny that. And I think that this report is inaccurate. It is incomplete. And it makes conclusions that --

to the defendant and then he has to give his opinion.

I think I know this defendant pretty well.

You are making an accusation that has no basis.

MS. SELTEDR: Your Honor, the report says that 2 he adults to attempting to rob the bank. 3 Your Monor, we had a trial on this. I feel 4 that the probation report as many inaccuracies. 5 THE COURT: Who was the probation officer? IN SELTZER: Ronald Sachs. THE COURT: Is Ronald Sachs available? We will have to got him up here Second call. (Short recess, then SECOND CALL.) THE COURT: Ms. Seltzer, you say that there are inaccuracies 10 in this report. I want you to go over it page by page and point out every inaccuracy to the Court. 13 MS. SELTZIAR: Yes, your Honor. It is very important that the probation report be 100 percent accurate. There is always the possibility of the fact 16 that someone preparing the report will make an error. 17 THE COURT: Are there individual things that 18 you are pointing out in this report? 19 If you are pointing out that the report is incomplete in and of itself, that is unimportant. 21 MS. SELTABR: Perhaps it would not be sufficient 22 reason but I suggest to your Honor that your opinion 23 could be swayed one way or the other by your reading 24

25

of this report. The report is totally inaccurate.

The inaccuracies are such that upon picking up this report it presents a picture of this man that in no way resembles Hr. Herndon.

We had a trial and Mr. Herndon testified before your Honor.

THE COURT: I saw a lot of Mr. Herndon.

I may know him perhaps better than the Probation Department.

You say there are inaccuracies in this report.

I want you to go through this report page by page.

discusses the defendant's statement admitting his guilt. The charge is that he attempted a robbery which was based upon intent. Your Honor, he never admitted attempted robbery. That is what the report says. That he admitted an attempted robbery.

MR. SACHS: I don't remember exactly what the defendant said. In the defendant's statement, yes, it is true. The defendant admits his guilt.

MS. SELTZER: Hr. Herndon has never from my understanding told anyone that he admitted to anything

Here it says that he admits to attempting to rob that bank.

MR. SACHS: That was the statement that was

22

23

24

25

made to me.

THE COURT: That was the statement that was made?

MR. SACHS: Yes, your Monor.

THE COURT: Did he tell you that he attempted to rob the bank?

MR. SACHS: Yes, your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: No, I didn't.

SERVICER: Your Honor, that was a misunderstanding.

THE COURT: Misunderstanding?

MS. SELTZER: It is my understanding of the situation that the defendant never admitted to attempting to rob the bank.

THE COURT: He did take the witness stand at the time of trial.

MS. SELTZER: I do not know how Mr. Sachs got the idea.

THE COURT: He just told you how he got the idea. He said Mr. Herndon used those words.

Mr. Herndon now denies this.

MS. SELTZER: David Herndon admitted that he walked into the bank with the intent to rob it.

THE COURT: Then he changed his mind. That was his defense. The fact is that intent was involved.

bid he say that he intended, or attempted to Mis SACHS: I believe that he said he attempted The count: What is the second inaccuracy? that he scarted to rob the bank. ted that the bank with the intent to rob it. no sentified at the trial under oath, he a to the intent and nothing else. He was very and the that and that a dis testimony was very calculated. he suffered the bank with the intent to rob it. the transfer of make that clear to you. Man Maddalle Your Monor, there are certain reserve is an unfair characterization by the provides a memorial of his family and of his relation-The Courts Point that out to me page by page, 115. SEMITER: Page 8. On page 8 there is a discussion of ar. derndon's relationship with his mother. 21 How can he discuss a woman who has been dead now for two years. She did not come into his 23

office and talk to him.

24

25

This report describes Mr. Herndon's relationship

with his mother with many inaccuracies.

by Mr. Sachs to the woman and to her relation—
ships with other mea. The fact that she had many
relationships — he has never spoken to this woman
who has been dead for two years and not able to
dispute so ething like that.

mes could now what her this --

errors that I must bring to the Court's attention.

about
There have been certain accusations made / the existence in this house which was/plomiscuous nature.

prostitute. That was his description of her. He said that she just fell in and out of love many times.

THE COURT: I think it is a slur.

You have just pointed outthe facts that you feel are inaccuracies.

These two facts are just their way of trying to express an opinion.

Now you have to have some confidence in the ability of the Judge to deal with what is said in the report.

I don't care about how many lovers she had. I did think it was important what kind of environment he

	11-20
1	grew up in. If I was convinced of this, it would
2	help me to understand his present situation,
3	if I know what his background was. If I
4	knew the lind of household that he was brought up in,
5	it would help me to understand his present situation.
6	If I knew that the household he was brought up in,
7	was quite chaotic.
8	PS. SELTZER: That is just my point. The
9	defendant says that the household was parfectly fine.
10	I wonder if the Probation Department has spoken
11	to his sister.
12	MR. SACHG: Yes, I have.
13	The COURT: Mr. Sachs sayshe did speak to his
14	sister.
15	MS. SELTZER: She is very stable and a very
16	solld person. She had a very good effect on the
17	family.
18	THE COURT: I might very well understand his
19	position and I might have given him a lighter sentence
20	because of it. Hr. Herndon doesn't like it because
21	it is a slur on his mother's reputation.
22	I don't blame him for that. He is entitled to
23	that.
24	MS. SELTZER; It notes that the defendant's sister's husband
25	and this is the same sister, it identifies her husband

	00
11	1
H	26

as Dan Connor, who is a criminal who is known to this Court. Dan Connor is not his sister's husband.

He is her brother-in-law. Her husband is someone else.

THE DEFENDANT: Robert Connor.

THE COURT: Robert Commor?

MS. SELTZER: He has no criminal record.

THE COURT: That may be an inaccuracy.

possibility that it is a misinterpretation.

MS. SELTZER: Page 10, how it describes the home of this man. The description here is of an apartment with only the bare necessities.

Thave never been to this apart and but as it has been described to me it does not just have the bare necessities.

This report is riddled with inaccuracies.

The Court: Were you there at the apartment before you made this report? Were you there,

MR. SACHS: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you describe that apartment, Mr. Jachs.

MR. SACHS: As it appeared in April of 1975, --MS. SELTZER: Which of course means that he

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

10

13

).4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A 27

had just moved into the home.

HR. SACHS: Your Honor, I had waited until the last possible/day before my report would be due to visit Nie home.

MS. SELTZER: He had just moved in. It obvious;

day.

what his home looked like?

There were no pictures hung on the wall. There were a couple of kitchen chairs there. There was no attempt to de crate the apartment at all because there was --

MS. SELTZER: Because there were no finances.

HR. SACHS: I don't know about that. I am just giving you my observations.

MS. SELTZER: You could have waited a little longer.

MR. SACHS: I waited as long as I could. I waited until the last day when the report was due.

The report had to be written.

MS. SELTZER: You have reported facts that are going to be held against Mr. Herndon.

.

G

THE COURT: I was not going to nold it against Mr. Herndon. You are suggesting it to me. MS. SELTZER: This is an indication of the whole attitude of this report. To go and visit his lowe when he has just lived there for about three or four weeks and hasn't had a chance to decorate it I think 6 is very unfair. I think that is the attitude of this report. THE COURT: When you can't think of anything 9 10 else, then it is attitude. Go ahead, Ms. Seltzer. You are telling me about inaccuracies. What is the next page. 12 13

1

5

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SELTZER: Page 12 where there is, a discussion of the description of Mr. Herndon's relationship with drugs As also an inaccuracy.

The report on one hand indicates that he has been in bi-weekly contact with the Drug Control Commission of the State.

The Drug Control Commission has indicated that in his urine, he has no possible residue/except for alcohol in his specimen.

THE COURT: Does the report contend that he is taking drugs?

MS. SELTZER: The contention is that the narcotics parole officer has advised us that the defendant

A	29
	-

has bi-weekly contact with probation.

THE COURT: Did he have bi-weekly contact with probation?

MS. SELTZER: He is in contact with that office.

If he used drugs there would be some trace of it in
his system.

THE COURT: How often does he have contact with the parole officer?

MR. SHLTZER: Bi-weekly?

THE DEFENDANT: Weekly.

THE COURT: He has even more contact with them.

MR. SELTZER: That is correct.

Mr. Herndon has not used any form of drugs since his armost.

THE COURT: Where does it say that he uses drugs?

MS. SELTZER: On page 13: He has had weekly contact with cocaine for the past four years. He has a habit of approximately \$35 per week. That is their version of what happened.

THE COURT: You are dealing with Mr. Sachs' opinion.

MS. SELTZER: I don't know this man from their description of him.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Sachs. Was he in

1 2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

contact with his parole officer?

MR. SACHS: He was in contact not with his parole officer but his parole supervisor. The supervisor stated that just as I have written here that the defendant admitted to having sporadically taken cocaine. The readmits on page 1.

This defendant has a weekly habit of approximately \$35 per week.

THE DEFENDANT: I do not.

THE COURT: Now I realize that does not match up with his parole office's opinion of him. That is not their fault.

MS. SELTZER: Perhaps they did not give him a proper examination.

THE COURT: Did you have contact with cocaine?

Ask him that.

MS. SELTZER: Did you have contact with cocaine?
THE DEFENDANT: I have not.

THE COURT: Did you tell this probation officer that you had weekly contact with cocaine for the last four years?

Do you want me to bring the parole officer in and ask him, if he told Mr. Sachs that this defendant had sporadic contact with cocaine?

MS. SELTZER: That was in the past.

	11	
	11	
	11	
1		
	11	
	11	
	11	
2	11	
-	11	
	11	
	11	
	11	
3	11	
	11	
4		
	11	
	11	
5	11	
U	11	
	11	
6		
7		
-		
8	11	
	11	
9		
2		
	11	
10		
10	11	
	11	
	11	
	11	
11		
2.1		
12		
	11	
	11	
13		
	11	
14		
14		
15		
111		
	11	
16	4	
* **		
11		
10		
18		
	11	
	11	
19	11	
1.0	11	
20		
	11	
21	11	
	11	
	11	
00		
22		
	11	
	11	
23	11	
40	11	
	11	

25

THE COURT: Was that the past or was that up to the present?

Do you want me to bring him in?

THE DEPENDANT: No.

THE COURT: You don't want him brought in.

MS. SELTER: He says he wants to be sentenced.

THE COURT: He wants what?

MS. SELTZER: Mr. Herndon has advised me since the date of his arrest that he has not gone near drugs.

And that is his contention up until the present time.

Now perhaps Mr. Sachs minunderstood him.

THE COURT: Perhaps Mr. Herndon was lying.

Is there a possibility you were lying?

my arrest I had been using cocaine every week, biweekly. I told him that I would get it every time I
got paid. I would use cocaine. That was prior to my
arrest.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

Are there any other inaccuracies?

MR. SELTZER: It is just the parole officer's word against Mr. Herndon's. That's all it is.

The tests that he has taken show that he has no drugs in his system. They find no drugs in his system, your Honor.

24

25

THE COURT: How long does it take to trace evidence of cocaine, of drugs in the bloodstream?

MR. SACHS: Cocaine I would say would have to be caught rather quickly.

THE COURT: how long, 24 hours?

MR. SACHS: Yes.

a date for a test like every Friday. And let's say that he knows that he is going to take the test every Friday. Suppose after he took the test on every Friday night he would take cocaine. Would that show up when he was tested on the following Friday?

MR. SACES: There is no way that it would show up. It would be too late.

THE COURT: You did visit Mr. Herndon's home?

MR. SACUS: Yes.

THE COURT: Was it a week day?

MR. SACHS: It was.

THE DEFENDANT: Wednesday.

THE COURT: Are there any other inaccuracies, Ms. Seltwer?

MS. SELTZER: Your Monor, Mr. Heradon has not been using drugs. There is no way that he can prove that he has not used any drugs since the time of his arrest last October. That is the case also.

He was sent to the Narcotics Addiction Control

Commission.

Is there anything else wrong with the report?

MS. SELTZER: Yes.

THE COURT: Where? Point it out to me.

MS. SELTZER: As far as employment is concerned the report indicates that he has had only one employment or one job that the Probation Department was able to verify.

Mr. Herndon advised me that there was a letter sent to the Probation Department by his employer. He has been employed since December 1974. He has worked at the same place from June 1973 to January 1974. He is employed in a job that concerns youngsters. His extra time is uncompensated for. He recommends and guides these youngsters. He is qualified and able to carry out his responsibilities. I would ask
Mr. Sachs why he did not take that into consideration when he made his report to the Court.

MR. SACHS: There were letters sent to these people.

MS. SELTZER: I called the woman and I spoke to her myself. She is always available. She said, if I can be of service please call. She is at 857-8701.

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SACHS: I did call the woman who he is employed by at the Probation Department.

MS. SELTZER: And did you speak with her?

MR. SACHS: She did forward that letter to us. He did work as a big brother from 1968 to 1969, as a child care counselor.

THE COURT: I received a letter stating he was employed by the Probation Department Did you receive that letter, Mr. Sachs? Show him the letter. (Mr. Sachs examines the letter.)

MS. SELTZER: Your Monor, I'r. Herndon has been employed. The entire probation report is full of inaccuracies.

THE COURT: It is possible that it is. I will assume that he was neglectful.

MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, he has been a little bit more that neglectful, in view of the fact that his amployment has been good. He has a good work record. He worked. He has worked for the k Y.U. Law Clinic.

It is my responsibility as his lawyer to protect the my client. I have / responsibilty of imparting to the Court the glowing reports that I got about him. I do not see any mention of that anywhere in this report. There are glowing reports in that letter about my client. And I wanted the probation report to reflect that.

Why didn't he contact his employer? He would have heard all of the details.

MR. SACHS: They were contacted twice by letter.
They failed to respond.

THE COURT: Would you want me to put Mr. Suchs under eath, Ma. Seltzer.

MS. SELTTER: I am not saying he is deliberately not patting anything in here.

THE COURTE Oh.

about his lack of seriousness, about his attitude, or lack of seriousness, and that is going to be taken into account.

included everything. There are a lot of inaccuracies. He is a very serious man. I know it. I want them to say that he is a very serious man. And he recognizes the gravity of his offense. He is remarkeful. He should be probated. And I think the report should say that.

THE COURT: That's the point.

take this into consideration. There is one more thing that I would like to say.

THE COURT: Make it short.

21

18

4117

24

A 36

MS. SELTWER: I would just like to say that 1 this report does not describe my client. I don't 2 even recognize him from this report. 3 THE COURT: The report does not comport with 4 what Mr. Herndon tells you. MS. SELTZER: That's all there is to it. It does not comport with a lot of the facts that I know, an I know them. 8 THE COURT: You can't have it two ways. 4 MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, he does have a good 10 work record. The report says that he is not a serious 11 worker. He is employed. 12 Clearly, Mr. Sachs did not put in the glowing 13 report that I have had about my client. 14 THE COURT: He didn't have to tell me about him. 15 I know more about him than the report tells me. 16 MS. SELTZER: It is information of such a 17 nature that I was hoping it would be seen by your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: Has he come up with a different 20 defense?

23

24

25

After listening to Mr. Herndon testify I think stated in the probation report.

I know more about him, than what is/I can tell you this, I know more about Mr. Herndon than Mr. Sachs does.

-	A 37 22
1	MS. SELTZER: I am sure your Honor does. 1 am
2	sure of that.
3	THE COURT: I don't think the report is insig-
4	nificant.
5	The only real possibility of error is that the
6	report says that Dan Commor is the husband, and has a
7	criminal record, Whereas he is the brother in-law, and
8	not the husband of his sister. His sister's husband's
9	name is Robert Connor.
10	MS. SELTZER: There is also the fact as to
11	robbing the bank. The report says he admitted going
12	to rob the bank.
13	THE COURT: I say that is the best version of
14	it.
15	Mr. Sachs has no clear recollection of what he
16	said. He entered the bank with the intent to rob it.
17	Attempting to rob a bank is a very serious crime.

19

21

23

24

MS. SELTZER: I am not saying that it isn't. Particularly, I am saying that it was not attempt but intent. That is one of the errors in the report. That is one of the inaccuracies.

It says on page 15 or 16 that Mr. Herndon has said that the NACC was unwholesome for his welfare.

> THE COURT: What page is that? MG. SELTZER: I think it is 16.

	MR.	SAChS:	15.	Then	it	goes	on	to	16.
-									

from the supervising council at the NACC. Page 16.

Mr. Werndon in effect told them deliberately in effect that the progress was unwholesome to his welfare.

THE COUPY: Does that affect anything else?
MS. SELTZER: No, your Honor.

THE COUNT: Do you have anything clse to say?

MS. SELSEER: I have more to say, Judge. I
have more to say.

THE COURT: Make it quick. I have a courtroom full of lawyers.

MS. SELTZER: Yes, your Honor.

As you know, this was a jury trial. I know the Court is aware of all of the facts.

THE COURT: I know it. Those are legal semantics.

MS. SINDYZER: But at the close of the jury trial I myself spoke to some of the jurors. I had an opportunity to speak to some of the jurors.

THE COURT: I hold no stock whatsoever in what jurors believe, not at all, just the verdict.

MS. SELTZER: But, at the time we were advised that on the question of actual attempted robbery, that as to if he attempted to pull out the gun, they

9

0

.

7

X

1()

19

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

24

25

would have voted to acquit him. There was apparently one juror who had been mugged at sometime or other. That was the one hold-out.

MR. GOULD: Mo, I was there, too. That is not true. One person gave us the standing. Maybe seven of them didn't like the looks of the prosecution.

THE COURT: I won't even consider that kind of thing.

I do not consider that in my appraisal of Mr. Merndon.

I made my own appraisal of him when he testified. I think he was a constant liar. He had a qun and he pointed it.

If a selfage Your Monor, my point is this. I I disagree with you. Hr. Herndon has not lied to the Court. He has not lied in this courtrains. He has not interfered with the process of law.

It was his position and he still maintains it that he went into the bank at the time that he did and he intended to rob the bank.

and he started to testify, he wanted to make sure that the jury understood that it was intent to rob a bank. He wanted to make sure that they knew it was intent to rob a bank. Intent to rob a bank is a

3

5

6

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Mr. Corcoran was aware of it.

MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, it was only on that theory that Mr. Herndon took the stand.

THE COURT: Correct.

lesser crime.

How, when he got on the witness stand he contrived to think of every way he could to offer testimony concerning intent. Everything was intent verything else was considered very carefully and very carefully planned. Every chance he got And. in addition he tried to show intent. to that he turned around and when he saw tue, or three every chance he got or four blacks on the jury, her made it a point to show in the testimony that when he was stopped Hr. Herndon said, Don't do that, I'm your brother. And the gentleman/was a security officer said .:

you are not a brother of mine.

MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, that as in the report of the agent. That was not said by Mr. Herndon. It was in the agent's report.

THE COURT: He said it. He had a perfect right to .

But, when he took the stand he recognized he was committing perjury. And he knew it. He avoided carefully admitting attempted robbery in the bank.

And he did anything to cover that up. But when he said, I am sorry, I am sorry, and he was charged with attempted robbery! What he said was that the intended to do that. He was attempting to rob the bank.

MR. GOULD: Yes.

while he was in there and then gave it ap.

So you are asking me to read this report about Mr. Herndon.

MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, you say you saw him look over at the jury. You say you saw him look right at those blacks on the jury. I don't understand what your Honor is getting at.

THE COURT: He was trying certainly to impress them. We are not brothers.

What I mean to say is that he took every occasion to bring that point up. Every time he could he certainly did.

MS. SHATZER: Your Honor, could I just make one point?

THE COURT: There is a courtroom full of people.

You have five more minutes. Then I am going to

impose sentence.

MS. SELTZER: It was his belief that the guard

had seen the gun stuck in his pants pocket.

testimony. He kept referring to the fact that you are not my brother. He was referring to the fact that he was a Jamaican, or not a Jamaican. He certainly took every opportunity to point this up.

The point is, I don't believe his testimony.

Mr. Herndon certainly took every opportunity that he could to bring this up while he testified.

Therewere 11 people who disagreedwith you.

I am thinking the case of U.S. against, you know the case. It is a Legal Aid case. The Legal Aid Society the sentence handled that case. I added two years onto/ because the defendant took the stand and lied. I am not going on the crime that he committed. to do that here. I am just going to sentence him/ I am not going to sentence Mr. Herndon, on his ability to tell the truth.

MS. SELTMER: The crime that he committed was into the bank before he walked. Before he walked into the bank, he robbery. intended to commit a / He did not attempt to commit a robbery. He intended to rob the bank.

THE COURT: But because he took the stand and bing practically confessed to intent to roby the bank you think he should be probated?

MS. SELTZER: He did confess to it.

į

THE COURT: To intent. That intent would be the lesser offense.

MS. SEWTHER: He admitted that he bought the toy goo, and went into the bank with intent to rob.

at Cast point.

changed his mind. He felt sick.

at that point when he realized that he could not get away with it.

The more we talk about it the more I recall.

MS. SELFERER: Your Honor, you know that we had a hung thury.

constantly lied while he was on the witness stand.

MS. SELTZER: He did not attempt to do that.

I do believe this man.

THE COURT: I cannot believe that he has been straightforward.

The second trial, the second time he did that, too. Every chance he got indeed he did that.

MS. SELTMER: He did not change his story. He has never changed his story. He has not changed his story up until today. He has never changed his story.

2

3

7

8

9

10

No.

1

14

1

18

19

3-3

23

24

r.

1.1

MA

THE COURT: In light of his background, and the fact that he testified, and took the stand, and avoided any evidence to the point that he attempted to rob the bank, --

MS. SLUTZER: he would have pleaded guilty if he had pulled the gun out.

Do you have anything else to say before I sent size II. Herndon?

bank robbery but an intended bank sobbery. He intended to not the bank which is basically a state of mind. I ask that your Monor, take that into consideration.

I ask your homor, to be as lemient under the circumstances as you can be.

It seems to me that this is a far different crime. It is very different for a person to walk into a bank and attempt to rob it. It is was something different. He just intended to do it. That is a state of mind. That was not the commission or the act of the crime. He did not do anything.

Intent is a state of mind. The law defines intent as a state of mind. It was only a state of mind that he wanted to go into the bank and do something.

A 45

of the facts. Also in view of the fact that he changed his mind and that he didn't attempt to rob that bank.

THE COURT: I will assume that all hat he did

the fact that there are some inaccuracies in the probation report, I would ask your Honor to be as lepient as you can be with him. I think the probation report is untrue. It is based solely on this defendant entering a bank with intent to rob the bank.

guilty to the second count of the indictment I sentence care and you to the/custody of the Attorney General or his who will duly authorized representative / choose a place of confinement for a term of five years under Title 19, U.S.C. 4203(a)(2).

Is there a motion?

MR. GOULD: Your Honor, the Government would move to dismiss Count One of indictment 75 CR 192.

Also, the underlying indictment which is 74 CR 697.

THE COURT: Motion granted.

MS. SELTZER: Your Honor, could you give the defendant a week to surrender?

3

5

6

.

11

12

13

1...

19

20

00

24

2.5

about this at all, the other judges on the panel agreed. One judge would have given him eight years.

I have made all of my court appearances. I do have to guit my job. I have to put a few things in

order. Can I have a few days just to tidy up a little

THE COURT: NO.

business?

THE DEFENDANT: I did work for the Probation Department.

THE COURT: You know what was going to happen today.

to happen today. I am a comminity worker for the Probation Department.

THE COURT: What do you have to do?

I have to make out reports on. Those are for jobs and things like that.

I have a boy who is ready to go to Boy's High.

I just have to take him down to the Board of

Education.

THE COURT: Motion denied.

24

大 市 为

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, ss:

EVELYN COHEN , beir	ng duly sworn, says that on the 3rd						
day of September, 1975, I deposit	ed in Mail Chute Drop for mailing in the						
U.S. Courthouse, Cadman Plaza East, Borou	gh of Brooklyn, County of Kings, City and						
State of New York, a GOVERNMEN	r's APPENDIX						
of which the annexed is a true copy, contained	d in a securely enclosed postpaid wrapper						
directed to the person hereinafter named, at the place and address stated below: Michael Young, Esq. Federal Defender Services Unit Legal Aid Society 26 Court Street - Room 701 Brooklyn, N.Y. 11242							
Sworn to before me this 3rd day of Sept., 1975 OLGA S. VORGAN Notary Public Side of Hew York No. 214/21955 Chalified in Lines County Commission Expires March 30, 1977	Euchpe loken						